



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Information Policy
Suite 11050
1425 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

June 29, 2018

Ms. Anne L. Weismann
CREW
455 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
aweismann@citizensforethics.org

Re: DOJ-2018-002590 (AG)
DOJ-2018-001537 (DAG)
DOJ-2018-001538 (ASG)
DOJ-2018-001539 (PAO)
DOJ-2018-001540 (OLA)
18-cv-00007 (D.D.C.)
VRB:BPF

Dear Ms. Weismann:

This is a third interim response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated and received in this Office on December 13, 2017, in which you requested various records concerning a meeting with reporters held on December 12, 2017. This response is made on behalf of the Offices of the Attorney General (OAG), Deputy Attorney General (ODAG), Associate Attorney General (OASG), Public Affairs (PAO), and Legislative Affairs (OLA).

On April 30, 2018 and June 1, 2018, we provided you with interim responses to your request. At this time, I have determined that an additional 143 pages containing records responsive to your request are appropriate for release with excisions made pursuant to Exemptions 5 and 6 of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) and (b)(6), and copies are enclosed. Additionally, 124 pages are being withheld in full pursuant to Exemption 5 of the FOIA. Exemption 5 pertains to certain inter- and intra-agency communications protected by civil discovery privileges. Exemption 6 pertains to information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties. Please note that duplicative records have not been processed, and are marked accordingly.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2012 & Supp. IV 2016). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact Vinita Andrapalliyal of the Department's Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch, at 202-305-0845.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "V-R-B" followed by a horizontal flourish.

Vanessa R. Brinkmann
Senior Counsel

Enclosures

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 1:29 PM
To: Pustay, Melanie A (OIP); McGowan, Ashley L. (OPA)
Subject: RE: Watchdog sues DOJ over decision to show FBI texts to reporters | TheHill

Thanks--will be two more stories on this at least. Reuters and Business Insider.

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

-----Original Message-----

From: Pustay, Melanie A (OIP)
Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 1:24 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <sflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; McGowan, Ashley L. (OPA) <almcgowan@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Watchdog sues DOJ over decision to show FBI texts to reporters | TheHill

FYI

<http://thehill.com/policy/cybersecurity/367228-watchdog-sues-doj-over-decision-to-show-fbi-texts-to-reporters>

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 3:00 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Re: Question about Rosenstein Answer on IG consultation on Strzok texts

(b) (5)

On Dec 18, 2017, at 2:59 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:58 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Question about Rosenstein Answer on IG consultation on Strzok texts

(b) (5)

On Dec 18, 2017, at 2:48 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice

Office: 202.616.0911

Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Daniel Friedman [<mailto:DFriedman@motherjones.com>]

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:43 PM

To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>

Subject: Question about Rosenstein Answer on IG consultation on Strzok texts

Ian,

I read the IG's letter, Flores statements and Rosenstein's answers on IG consultation. It seems like Rosenstein's statement to Raskin, in particular, while not clearly contradicted by Horowitz's letter, could have left the impression that he consulted with the IG about releasing the texts to the media.

Raskin's line of questioning was about release of texts to the media. Then he asked about IG rule that prohibits release of info that is part of an investigation.

Rosenstein: "When this inquiry came in from Congress, we did consult with the Inspector General and he determined that he had no objection to release of the material. If he had, I can assure you I would not have authorized the release."

So Rosenstein didn't say IG okayed release of messages to media, but it didn't exclude that.

I assume you guys will say Rosenstein didn't mean to imply IG approved release of texts to press. But is he considering sending any kind of clarification to the committee on that?

I don't know how significant this is, but I don't want to read any other stuff you guys out in Business Insider again.

I am coming around to the view that your guys knew Congress was gonna leak these anyway, so releasing them in whole could be as your first statement said, an attempt to avoid confusion/more selective leaking.

Thanks,

Dan

202.290.5424

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 9:10 AM
To: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Redaction question

I actually don't know. Let me ask--I think we took the IG redactions and that's based on more or less foia rules. So I'll figure out what their policy was.

> On Dec 18, 2017, at 8:04 AM, (b) (6) wrote:

>

> Sarah,

> Regarding the FBI texts, can you help me understand why my name was included in the texts when others (including my wife's) were redacted? I don't mind the commentary — I've been called worse than schlubby — but I didnt understand the rationale given the others who were not included.

> Thanks,

> (b) (6)

Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:32 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: Tweet by (((Rep. Nadler))) on Twitter

(((Rep. Nadler))) (@RepJerryNadler)

12/15/17, 9:24 PM

.@TheJusticeDept Inspector General sent a letter to @HouseJudDems saying DOJ did NOT consult the before releasing @FBI text messages between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page to the press. Here is our joint response, w/ @RepJeffries @RepRaskin: pic.twitter.com/mBTjGedUsi

[Download](#) the Twitter app

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:34 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: FW: OIG response to House
Attachments: Nadler Raskin Response Letter.pdf

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:33 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: RE: Hi

I can forward

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:30 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Hi

Is the IG's letter to be found anywhere except on Natasha Bertrand's twitter feed??

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:27 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Hi

I'll forward it to you when they put it out. Call or text anytime: 202.305.5808 But the short version is that he's saying that the IG never objects when the records are preexisting like they are here and then he just leaves out the part where he should have said "and so, as with the pre-existing records here, we did not object to the release of these texts to congress after DOJ told us they had requests from Congress." And then the next part is saying "but that the IG isn't the one who signs off on legal or ethical concerns—DOJ officials do that." Which then I was saying if you look at my earlier statement—that's exactly what our career folks did.

<https://twitter.com/SarahFloresDOJ?lang=en>

Does that make sense?

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:24 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Hi

- a) I have passed on your thanks. And she means it.
- b) Yeah I would love an explainer. Also a headsup of where I can find the IG statement when it comes. Where do I call??

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [<mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:19 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Hi

Hey...really appreciate that tweet from Rachel. Truly. She didn't need to do that and its especially kind when it would have been so easier/popular for her to pile on. My integrity has been questioned a lot this week on an issue I tried to handle as fairly as I could to all parties involved understanding the stakes and those are the times things like this really stand out.

Back to business: Call me if you want me to explain—the IG letter is super confusing, but he is actually agreeing with me. Talked to IG earlier and expect statement from them shortly that will be in line with mine:

The letter released by the IG tonight is entirely consistent w my earlier tweets & DAG's testimony. IG had no objection to release to Congress. We then consulted senior career legal/ethics experts to determine there were no issues w releasing texts to either Congress or press.

<https://twitter.com/SarahFloresDOJ/status/941833219871096832>

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [<mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 3:16 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Hi

The five cmtes were the 2 intels, the 2 judiciaries and...oversight?

For the rest – thank you!

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [<mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 2:46 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Hi

Below! (Sorry I totally missed them the first time)

On Dec 15, 2017, at 1:52 PM, Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) <Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com> wrote:

Popular, in a good, cool, high-school kind of way, right? ☺

As for Q's first 3 – I'm looking for ON the record for #1-3, OFF the record, guidance only on #5-6, #4 more of a venting....

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [<mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:35 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Hi

Lol! So true☺

xxxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [<mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:34 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Hi

You're very popular today!
466 followers in one day? Not bad!

Ok- Sorry to be a bore here, but help me re: Strzok / Page

- 1) When you say they were released to the congressional committees – is that just Sen & House judiciary? Or also the intel cmtes? 5 committees total
- 2) The committees were provided copies of 375 texts, right? 375 texts that the IG approved for release out of 10,000 that the IG examined? Appx 375. We've never provided full number.
- 3) Were the anti-Hillary, anti-Obama admin, anti-Bernie texts among the 375 approved for release? I'm not aware of any news reports of texts that were not among the appx 375 we provided to congress.
- 4) If they're floating around all over the place, why can't we just have a link where we can see them? Congress was only provided hard copies. That being said, they can scan them or upload them if they choose.
- 5) OTR/guidance - Which news outlet (or outlets) reported that reporters got access to texts before the committees? Was that Biz Insider? Yes. It never happened.
- 6) OTR/guidance – when you say the reporters who got them “outside this process” – That's referring just to NYT and their first story? Or to Fox News? Decline comment bc of nature of how I learned (ie I protect yalls confidence/rules same as you protect mine.)

It's Friday!

Matthew

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:16 PM
To: jake.gibson@foxnews.com
Subject: FW: OIG response to House
Attachments: Nadler Raskin Response Letter.pdf

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:53 PM
To: Chuck Ross
Subject: RE: DOJ IG letter on Strzok texts

<https://twitter.com/SarahFloresDOJ/status/941833219871096832>

off the record: I understand IG is putting out a statement soon too. But call me if you need me to walk you through this

xxxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Chuck Ross [mailto:chuck@dailycaller.com]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 6:50 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: DOJ IG letter on Strzok texts

Sarah,

Do you guys have a response to the DOJ IG's letter saying they weren't consulted ahead of the release of the Strzok texts?

Seeing some journos assert that your tweets from earlier were wrong, and I'm trying to figure out what's what.

Thanks for any help,
Chuck Ross
The Daily Caller
316-616-7326

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:53 PM
To: Max Greenwood
Subject: RE: DOJ OIG wasn't consulted before texts were released?

<https://twitter.com/SarahFloresDOJ/status/941833219871096832>

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Max Greenwood [mailto:mgreenwood@thehill.com]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:10 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: DOJ OIG wasn't consulted before texts were released?

Hi Sarah -- Do you have any comment on the DOJ IG's letter to Reps. Nadler, Raskin and Jeffries saying that OIG wasn't consulted before releasing Peter Strzok's text messages to members of Congress and the media?

Thanks in advance,

--

Max Greenwood
Reporter | The Hill
mgreenwood@thehill.com
(321) 698-1135

Press

From: Press
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: FW: Harper's Magazine inquiry

Harpers following up.

Thanks - Kristen

From: Claire Bryan [mailto:west@harpers.org]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 10:56 AM
To: Press <Press@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Harper's Magazine inquiry

Hi Kristen,

I'm following up on this request. Has there been any progress?

Thank you!
Claire

Claire Bryan
Editorial Assistant
west@harpers.org
212.420.5741

Harper's Magazine
666 Broadway, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10012
<https://harpers.org>

On Wed, Dec 13, 2017 at 4:25 PM, Claire Bryan <west@harpers.org> wrote:

Hi Kristen,

Thanks for speaking just now. I'm looking to gain access to text messages that were exchanged between FBI officials (Peter Strzok and Lisa Page) in March 2016 that the Department of Justice reviewed.

Can you connect me to someone who could provide these documents for me? You can reach me at [212-420-5741](tel:212-420-5741). My deadline is Friday.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Best,
Claire Bryan

Claire Bryan
Editorial Assistant
west@harpers.org
[212.420.5741](tel:212.420.5741)

Harper's Magazine
666 Broadway, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10012
<https://harpers.org>

Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Flores statement

(b) (5)

[Redacted]

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:52 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

(b) (5)

On Dec 15, 2017, at 1:50 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:16 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

(b) (5)

On Dec 15, 2017, at 12:51 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

Begin forwarded message:

From: Natasha Bertrand <nbertrand@businessinsider.com>
Date: December 15, 2017 at 12:47:58 PM EST
To: "Prior, Ian (OPA)" <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

Hi, circling back on these questions. Thanks.

On Fri, Dec 15, 2017 at 8:43 AM, Natasha Bertrand <nbertrand@businessinsider.com> wrote:

Following up on that, Rep. Matt Gaetz told CNN this morning that the DOJ was forced to release the Strzok/Page texts because Devin Nunes "was about to subpoena them."

Is that accurate?

Thanks,
Natasha

On Thu, Dec 14, 2017 at 9:53 PM, Natasha Bertrand <nbertrand@businessinsider.com> wrote:

Hi Ian,

This statement from Sarah seems to indicate that there were unauthorized disclosures of the Strzok texts to reporters.

"As we understand now, some members of the media had already

received copies of the texts before that — but those disclosures were not authorized by the department."

<https://www.politico.com/story/2017/12/14/fbi-agents-text-message-justice-department-congress-democrats-297737>

Does DOJ know who disclosed them / does it plan to find out?

Thanks,
Natasha

--

Natasha Bertrand
Political Correspondent | Business Insider
[631.317.8409](tel:631.317.8409)
[@NatashaBertrand](https://twitter.com/NatashaBertrand)

--

Natasha Bertrand
Political Correspondent | Business Insider
[631.317.8409](tel:631.317.8409)
[@NatashaBertrand](https://twitter.com/NatashaBertrand)

--

Natasha Bertrand
Political Correspondent | Business Insider
[631.317.8409](tel:631.317.8409)
[@NatashaBertrand](https://twitter.com/NatashaBertrand)

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:35 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: RE: Hi

Lol! So true☺

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com]
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:34 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Hi

You're very popular today!
466 followers in one day? Not bad!

Ok- Sorry to be a bore here, but help me re: Strzok / Page

- 1) When you say they were released to the congressional committees – is that just Sen & House judiciary? Or also the intel cmtes?
- 2) The committees were provided copies of 375 texts, right? 375 texts that the IG approved for release out of 10,000 that the IG examined?
- 3) Were the anti-Hillary, anti-Obama admin, anti-Bernie texts among the 375 approved for release?
- 4) If they're floating around all over the place, why can't we just have a link where we can see them?
- 5) OTR/guidance - Which news outlet (or outlets) reported that reporters got access to texts before the committees? Was that Biz Insider?
- 6) OTR/guidance – when you say the reporters who got them “outside this process” – That's referring just to NYT and their first story? Or to Fox News?

It's Friday!

Matthew

Press

From: Press
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:33 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: FW: Letter from Reps. Nadler, Jeffries, and Raskin
Attachments: 1664_001.pdf

FYI

From: McElvein, Elizabeth (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Doj.correspondences@usdoj.gov; Press <Press@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Hiller, Aaron <Aaron.Hiller@mail.house.gov>
Subject: Letter from Reps. Nadler, Jeffries, and Raskin

Attached, please find a letter from Reps. Nadler, Jeffries, and Raskin to Director Flores and Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein.

Regards,

Elizabeth H. McElvein
Professional Staff
Committee on the Judiciary
House of Representatives
(b) (6)

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

December 15, 2017

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530

Dear Director Flores,

We wrote to you yesterday regarding the Department's decision to invite a group of reporters to view text messages between FBI officials Peter Strzok and Lisa Page—in private, at Department headquarters, and before delivery to congressional offices was complete.¹

Shortly after we transmitted our letter to the Department, you released a statement to the press:

When the initial inquiries came from the committees and members of Congress, the deputy attorney general consulted with the inspector general, and the inspector general determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees After that consultation, senior career ethics advisers determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of Congress or by the Department.²

But later in the evening, your story appears to have changed. In an additional statement, you said: "As we understand now, some members of the media had already received copies of the texts before that—but those disclosures were not authorized by the department."³

We require clarification on this point. In addition to the questions we asked in yesterday's letter to you, please tell us:

¹ Natasha Bertrand, *In 'highly unusual' move, DOJ secretly invited reporters to view texts sent by ousted FBI agents*, BUSINESS INSIDER, Dec. 13, 2017.

² David Shortell, *DOJ says no wrongdoing in release of FBI agent's texts*, CNN, Dec. 14, 2017.

³ Darren Samuelsohn, *Democrats want to know why Justice Department released FBI texts*, POLITICO, Dec. 14, 2017.

- Did the media have access to these text messages prior to their delivery to Congress?
- Did the Department authorize this disclosure? If so, who in the Department authorized this disclosure? If not, who in the Department made or facilitated this disclosure?
- If indeed it happened, why did you omit this unauthorized disclosure from your initial statement to the press?
- You say that you relied on the advice of “career ethics advisers” who “determined there were no legal or ethical concerns” with your release of this material to the press. Please provide all documents and communications that form the basis of this advice.

We ask for your response to both our initial letter and these questions no later than December 19, 2017. We also ask that you communicate directly with our offices, rather than through the press, when providing your response.

Sincerely,


Jerrold Nadler
Ranking Member
House Committee on the Judiciary


Hakeem Jeffries
Member of Congress


Jamie Raskin
Vice Ranking Member
House Committee on the Judiciary

cc: Chairman Bob Goodlatte, House Committee on the Judiciary
Deputy Attorney General Rod J. Rosenstein, U.S. Department of Justice

Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 1:17 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: Re: Flores statement

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

On Dec 15, 2017, at 1:16 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Duplicative



Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:46 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Flores statement

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:34 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

(b) (5)

On Dec 15, 2017, at 9:33 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:08 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

(b) (5)

On Dec 15, 2017, at 9:07 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5) For some reason it was in my deleted box.

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

On Dec 15, 2017, at 8:50 AM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)
[Redacted]

On Dec 15, 2017, at 8:47 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)
[Redacted]

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

Begin forwarded message:

From: Natasha Bertrand
<nbertrand@businessinsider.com>
Date: December 15, 2017 at 8:43:24 AM EST
To: "Prior, Ian (OPA)"
<Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

Duplicative
[Redacted]

Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Flores statement

(b) (5)

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 9:34 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Flores statement

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:25 AM
To: John.Walcott@thomsonreuters.com
Cc: Sarah.N.Lynch@thomsonreuters.com; Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com
Subject: Re: DOJ says early release of FBI agents' texts was 'not authorized'

And here's a more full statement. I didn't reach out bc mark said he had learned about the past admin's practice during F&f and was no longer pursuing.

The Chairman and Ranking Members of each of the congressional committees were provided the opportunity to have copies of the texts delivered to their offices. This was completed before any member of the media was given access to view the same copy of the texts by the Department's Office of Public Affairs.

As the Deputy Attorney General said in this testimony on Wednesday, when the initial inquiries came from committees and members of Congress, the Deputy Attorney General consulted with the Inspector General, and the Inspector General determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees that had requested it. After that consultation, senior career ethics advisors determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of congress or by the Department.

On Dec 15, 2017, at 7:11 AM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

BI has never reached out to me for comment or to discuss this story and her tweets have been discredited repeatedly during this process.

I learned that another news organization may have had the texts before I showed them to media at doj. Which means we didn't give it to them. Could mean congress did after we gave it to them. Confused why that reflects poorly on doj?

On Dec 15, 2017, at 12:59 AM, "John.Walcott@thomsonreuters.com" <John.Walcott@thomsonreuters.com> wrote:

Sarah,

1. Is this story accurate? Once more, was the early release to selected news organizations of email exchanges that are part of an ongoing IG investigation authorized?
2. If it was, by whom? If it was not Mr. Rosenstein, was it the Attorney General? If it was, did the President or any member of the Executive Office of the President direct him to do it?
3. If it was not authorized, who chose on his or her own to give the material

to selected reporters; is that person or persons a political appointee; and are they now subject to disciplinary action or dismissal?

4. If the story is accurate, did you inform Sarah Lynch or me this evening. If you did, I didn't receive your email or call, when you have my home number, as well as this email address.

5. If not, why not?

Given our discussion today, the climate in this town is only made worse by favors or favoritism, IF either is the case here.

Again, per our earlier discussion, now yesterday, please note that I did not call you at this hour, baffled though I am by this development— again, if the story is accurate.

Also as we discussed, if true, this development is bad for your department, reflects poorly on the Trump administration, further poisons relations between the administration and the legitimate news media, serves our democracy badly, or, worse, aids and abets the ongoing efforts to discredit it.

Thanks,

John

<http://www.businessinsider.com/doj-says-early-release-of-fbi-agents-texts-was-not-authorized-2017-12>

John Walcott
Editor-in-Charge, National Security and Foreign Affairs
Reuters News

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:14 AM
To: Katmer, Elvan
Cc: Gibson, Jake; 531-DCDeskOps; Press
Subject: Re: Statement on

I want to clarify something about my statement:

I learned that another news organization may have had the texts before I showed them to media at doj. Which means we didn't give it to them. Could mean congress did after we gave it to them for example. As I said in my statement, there was no limitation on congressional release to the public either legally or ethically.

On Dec 14, 2017, at 11:44 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

The Chairman and Ranking Members of each of the congressional committees were provided the opportunity to have copies of the texts delivered to their offices. This was completed before any member of the media was given access to view the same copy of the texts by the Department's Office of Public Affairs. As we understand now, some members of the media had already received copies of the texts before that—but those disclosures were not authorized by the Department.

As the Deputy Attorney General said in this testimony on Wednesday, when the initial inquiries came from committees and members of Congress, the Deputy Attorney General consulted with the Inspector General, and the Inspector General determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees that had requested it. After that consultation, senior career ethics advisors determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of congress or by the Department.

On Dec 14, 2017, at 11:43 PM, Katmer, Elvan <Elvan.Katmer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Good evening Ms. Flores,

I am a journalist with Fox News.

I am reading a [Business Insider report](#) that refers to a [POLITICO article](#) citing you saying a Justice Department "statement" acknowledges "copies of private text messages exchanged between two former special counsel investigators were disclosed to certain members of the media before they were given to Congress, even though those disclosures 'were not authorized.'"

Can you confirm if there is a DOJ statement on this, or was this in response to a question you have provided to POLITICO. Could you please confirm if the release of these texts were not authorized.

Sincerely,

Elvan Katmer
Fox News Channel
Assignment Desk
(202) 824 - 6369

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 9:38 PM
To: Laura Jarrett
Subject: Fwd: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

The Chairman and Ranking Members of each of the congressional committees were provided the opportunity to have copies of the texts delivered to their offices. This was completed before any member of the media was given access to view the same copy of the texts by the Department's Office of Public Affairs. As we understand now, some members of the media had already received copies of the texts before that—but those disclosures were not authorized by the Department.

As the Deputy Attorney General said in this testimony on Wednesday, when the initial inquiries came from committees and members of Congress, the Deputy Attorney General consulted with the Inspector General, and the Inspector General determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees that had requested it. After that consultation, senior career ethics advisors determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of congress or by the Department.

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 8:21 PM
To: (b) (6) Sarah Flores Personal Email
Subject: FW: Letter to Director Flores***
Attachments: 12.14.17 Letter to Director Flores.pdf

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Press
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 5:44 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Letter to Director Flores***

Thank you - Kristen

From: McElvein, Elizabeth (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 5:33 PM
To: Doj.correspondences@usdoj.gov; Press <Press@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Letter to Director Flores

Attached, please find a letter from Reps. Nadler, Jeffries, and Raskin to Director Flores and Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein.

Regards,

Elizabeth H. McElvein
Professional Staff
Committee on the Judiciary
House of Representatives

(b) (6)

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

December 14, 2017

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530

Dear Director Flores,

On the evening of December 12, 2017, the Department of Justice delivered to us a set of private text messages between FBI officials Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. It has been reported that the Department also invited a group of reporters to view these text messages—in private, at Department headquarters, and before delivery to congressional offices was complete.¹

On December 13, 2017, Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein appeared as a witness before the House Judiciary Committee. When Representatives Hakeem Jeffries (D-NY) and Jamie Raskin (D-MD) questioned him about the Department's sharing these sensitive text messages with the press, Mr. Rosenstein responded:

[A]s you know, I am not the public affairs officer, so I wouldn't know what the precedent was, but generally speaking our goal is to be as forthcoming with the media as we can, when it is lawful and appropriate to do so. So I would not approve anybody disclosing something that was not appropriate to disclose.²

When pressed further about the relevance of the text messages to an ongoing investigation by the Office of the Inspector General, Mr. Rosenstein said:

¹ Natasha Bertrand, *In 'highly unusual' move, DOJ secretly invited reporters to view texts sent by ousted FBI agents*, BUSINESS INSIDER, Dec. 13, 2017.

² *Oversight Hearing with Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein*, before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, Dec. 13, 2017 (statement of Deputy Attorney general Rod Rosenstein).


When this inquiry came in from the Congress, we did consult with the Inspector General to determine that he had no objection to the release of the material. If he had, I can assure you we would not have authorized the release.³

Mrs. Flores, you *are* the Department's public affairs officer, and we write to you for further clarification about this unusual move:

- A spokeswoman for the Department suggested that "career Justice officials evaluated the messages . . . to be sure they could be released 'under both ethical and legal standards.'"⁴ Who at the Department made this evaluation?
- Who at the Department of Justice approved your decision to invite the press to view these text messages? Please provide all documents and communications regarding the decision to invite the press the view these text messages.
- Did you consult with any official at the Office of the Inspector General about sharing these text messages with the press prior to the Department's doing so?
- Who attended this media briefing? Please provide us with the names of the attendees, their media outlets, and copies of any documents or materials you may have provided or shown to the press at that time.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. We ask for your response no later than December 19, 2017.

Sincerely,


Jarrod Nadler
Ranking Member
House Committee on the Judiciary


Hakeem Jeffries
Member of Congress

³ *Id.*

⁴ Darren Samuelsohn, *DOJ fuels doubt about integrity of Mueller probe*, POLITICO, Dec. 14, 2017.



Jamie Raskin
Vice Ranking Member
House Committee on the Judiciary

cc: Chairman Bob Goodlatte, House Committee on the Judiciary
Deputy Attorney General Rod J. Rosenstein, U.S. Department of Justice

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 8:06 PM
To: Darren Samuelsohn
Subject: RE: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

The Chairman and Ranking Members of each of the congressional committees were provided the opportunity to have copies of the texts delivered to their offices. This was completed before any member of the media was given access to view the same copy of the texts by the Department's Office of Public Affairs. As we understand now, some members of the media had already received copies of the texts before that—but those disclosures were not authorized by the Department.

As the Deputy Attorney General said in this testimony on Wednesday, when the initial inquiries came from committees and members of Congress, the Deputy Attorney General consulted with the Inspector General, and the Inspector General determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees that had requested it. After that consultation, senior career ethics advisors determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of congress or by the Department.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Darren Samuelsohn [mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 7:07 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

Hey Sarah,

Guessing you've seen the attached letters from House Dems to you and the IG asking about the media briefing. I'm going to write a short story on this. Do you want to comment or respond? Posting something short in about 30 or so minutes.

Thank you,

Darren Samuelsohn
Senior reporter, POLITICO
Desk: 703-842-1769
Cell: (b) (6)
Dsamuelsohn@politico.com
@dsamuelsohn

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 8:02 PM
To: Boyd, Stephen E. (OLA); Hankey, Mary Blanche (OLA)
Subject: FW: Letter to Director Flores***
Attachments: 12.14.17 Letter to Director Flores.pdf

Welp---that happened.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Press
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 5:44 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Letter to Director Flores***

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 7:59 PM
To: Darren Samuelsohn
Subject: RE: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

Yes—coming momentarily

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Darren Samuelsohn [mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 7:07 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 3:58 PM
To: Tierney Sneed; Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: RE: Strzok/Page texts

We have not released any texts. 5 committees of congress have them though.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808



From: Tierney Sneed [mailto:tierney@talkingpointsmemo.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 3:19 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Strzok/Page texts

Hi Sarah and Ian,

Has the DOJ released the ~375 Strzok/Page texts that it reportedly previewed for reporters earlier this week?
If so, can you make them available to me?

Thanks,
Tierney

--

Tierney Sneed
Talking Points Memo
202-758-3048  
[@tierney_megan](mailto:tierney_megan@talkingpointsmemo.com)

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 2:34 PM
To: Darren Samuelsohn; Josh Gerstein
Subject: RE: closing the loop

I'm ok with that being included. You've got it from me directly and not from Josh☺

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Darren Samuelsohn [mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 2:25 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Josh Gerstein <jgerstein@politico.com>
Subject: Re: closing the loop

That is what the Dems were complaining about and what the sentence was previously talking about.

I can also add a line to say DOJ gave the messages to lawmakers before they were shared with the media. But I want to be sure I'm playing fair with respect to reporting something I've since learned through our OTR channels and not via Josh.

Are you OK with me saying some version of this at the end of the clarification?
DOJ also delivered the text messages to lawmakers before they were released to the press.

Thank you,

Darren Samuelsohn
Senior reporter, POLITICO
Desk: 703-842-1769
Cell: (b) (6)
Dsamuelsohn@politico.com
[@dsamuelsohn](https://twitter.com/dsamuelsohn)

From: "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Date: Thursday, December 14, 2017 at 2:19 PM
To: Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>, Josh Gerstein <jgerstein@politico.com>
Subject: RE: closing the loop

I still think its important for your readers to know that members of congress received them first—I guess im not sure why the hearing is a relevant time marker. Ie—there just as easily could have been no hearing the next day

but members of congress still had them.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Darren Samuelsohn [<mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 2:16 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Josh Gerstein <jgerstein@politico.com>
Subject: closing the loop

Hi Sarah,

We're going to change the last word in the sentence you flagged from 'lawmakers' to 'hearing.' This sentence is paraphrasing the complaint that the Democrats were making during the hearing, and that was what I was trying to capture.

The sentence is being changed to say: Rosenstein also faced several questions from Democrats seeking an explanation about why reporters had gotten access to Strzok's text messages before the **hearing**.

We also added a line at the end of the story to say the following:

Clarification: This story has been updated to clarify Democrats were questioning Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein about why reporters had gotten access to FBI agent Peter Strzok's text messages before Wednesday's House Judiciary Committee hearing.

I am sorry for the confusion. As Josh noted before, I was reporting on my own about the discussion on the Hill yesterday and knew it would be inappropriate to approach Gerstein since he was bound by your OTR/embargo ground rules.

Thank you,

Darren Samuelsohn
Senior reporter, POLITICO
Desk: 703-842-1769
Cell: (b) (6)
Dsamuelsohn@politico.com
@dsamuelsohn

From: "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Date: Thursday, December 14, 2017 at 1:26 PM
To: Josh Gerstein <jgerstein@politico.com>, Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>
Subject: RE: Sharing a POLITICO link: "DOJ fuels doubts about integrity of Mueller probe"

Thanks, Josh. All reasonable points. Hopefully Darren can take my word for it that no documents were shown to any member of the press before Congress. Although I understand the texts were in distribution to reporters from another source before I showed them to anyone and I don't know how that happened.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Josh Gerstein [<mailto:jgerstein@politico.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 1:21 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>
Subject: RE: Sharing a POLITICO link: "DOJ fuels doubts about integrity of Mueller probe"

Hi Sarah:

So in order to respect the terms of the embargo and the sourcing from Tuesday night, I did my best to steer clear of this story entirely. Just seemed awkward for me to try to wade into the specifics of stuff that was supposed to be for guidance or unattributed and the timing of everything.

Now that some of that is, for better or worse, in the public domain maybe you can work out with Darren the timeline he can report. I think he was operating primarily off of what lawmakers were saying yesterday at the hearing and elsewhere on the Hill.

If there's something specific you need me to verify about what went down, I'm happy to do that, but I did not want to breach any confidences.

--Josh

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [<mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 12:59 PM
To: Josh Gerstein <jgerstein@politico.com>; Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>
Subject: Fwd: Sharing a POLITICO link: "DOJ fuels doubts about integrity of Mueller probe"

I haven't seen a response to this. Need correction asap.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>
Date: December 14, 2017 at 11:08:11 AM EST
To: Darren Samuelsohn <dsamuelsohn@politico.com>
Subject: Sharing a POLITICO link: "DOJ fuels doubts about integrity of Mueller probe"

Good morning,

Sharing my latest story published this AM in POLITICO: "**DOJ fuels doubts about integrity of Mueller probe**"

<https://www.politico.com/story/2017/12/14/justice-department-mueller-investigation-295483>

Please share on social media and with friends and colleagues. You can tag me @dsamuelsohn on Twitter.

Be in touch,

Darren Samuelsohn

Senior reporter, POLITICO
Desk: 703-842-1769
Cell: (b) (6)
Dsamuelsohn@politico.com
@dsamuelsohn

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

Nonresponsive Record

From: John.Walcott@thomsonreuters.com [mailto:John.Walcott@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 12:03 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Strzok texts

Thank you again, Sarah, and for last night's back-and-forth. While I'm still not clear about who authorized the release of texts that are part of an ongoing IG investigation (perhaps I'm dense, but former IGs I know have said they don't know of any precedent), but I can assure on two points:

1. We don't call people at 3 a.m. except in extremis (terrorist attacks, etc.) My mother's rule was never to call anyone after 9, and that still seems reasonable, although you should feel free to call me anytime (b) (6) H)
2. We always base our stories on our reporting from multiple credible sources, preferably supported by verifiable documents, and not on any preconceived notions, twisted facts, or fake news. Again, if you feel otherwise, just call or email me.
3. (b) (6)

All the best,
John

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 11:23 AM
To: Hosenball, Mark J. (Reuters); Prior, Ian (OPA)
Cc: Walcott, John (Reuters)
Subject: RE: Strzok texts

- 1) Please please look into these things before sending me questions like this based on a single tweet you found from over a day ago. It was answered by the DAG in the hearing when Jeffries asked about it and Shannon sent out this tweet just moments later clarifying that their producer saw the same thing that Congress saw and every other outlet:
<https://twitter.com/ShannonBream/status/940990591915130880>
- 2) On your point about historical practice, I don't know who you are talking to but I sent you the names of 3 national reporters yesterday who have all confirmed past practice publicly.

- 3) I have no clue why the time of day is relevant—I get calls from my reporters at 3am not infrequently. We all work long hours in these jobs over here at DOJ—as is evidenced by the fact that several of the DOJ reporters were still here when I left at 11pm last night.
- 4) I have confirmed that some outlets had the full set of tweets before we released them to Congress or showed them to reporters here after, which makes this all seem like a silly non story.

At this point, your emails feels like badgering and a waste of time for me to argue about something you've made your mind up on. No other reporter who actually works here seems to agree with your narrative. Throughout this conversation you have had the tone of an advocate and not a reporter. So I think we're done.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com [<mailto:Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 10:55 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Strzok texts

I have contacted one or two people with historical knowledge of such issues and they said they had never seen or heard of a previous case of DoJ late at night calling reporters in to look at private message-type evidence - EVIDENCE, not internal memoranda - which had been collected by DoJ or IG in what is still an active, open investigation. Also, assuming its accurate, the tweet below seems to raise a serious question as to how Fox News obtained a much larger cache of Strzok messages than was provided to Congress. So I am still seriously wondering who authorized such releases, what the legal rationale was for doing so, given the fact that the investigation is still open, and whether you can produce any valid evidence that similar such material has been released in this manner in the past by DoJ.

Natasha Bertrand [Verified account](#) @NatashaBertrand
Follow Follow @NatashaBertrand

Rep. Hakeem Jeffries now asking who authorized the DOJ to invite reporters to come view private texts between 2 DOJ employees who were subject of pending investigation. Also asked how it's possible that Fox News has 10k Strzok-Page texts when DOJ only gave Congress 375 texts.

8:50 AM - 13 Dec 2017

Many thanks for your attention to this inquiry. mh

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 4:12 PM
To: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal)
Subject: RE: Sarah - Stupid Q

I don't have the real texts—so I doubt the rnc does either 😊

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Alexander, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Alexander@nbcuni.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 4:09 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Sarah - Stupid Q

Sarah,

Help - I have a stupid Q but can you clarify for me –

These screenshots of the Strzok texts that RNC is blasting out (below)... are those the real texts or are they the RNC marking up what they would like if sent on an iphone?


Don't judge me for being dumb!

From: Michael Ahrens - Communications/Research [mailto:Mahrens@gop.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:24 PM
To: Michael Ahrens - Communications/Research
Subject: [EXTERNAL] texts from last night


For several months we've been told that the special counsel's office is conducting an unbiased, independent investigation into the 2016 election. But newly-revealed text messages from at least two members of Robert Mueller's team, Peter Strzok and Lisa Page, raise questions about the impartiality of the investigation.

Here's just a sampling of the lovers' typo-ridden [anti-Trump texts](#):







During the Republican National Convention...



iMessage Send

"...you're meant to protect the country from that menace" ...





Michael Ahrens
Rapid Response Director
Republican National Committee
mahrens@gop.com
[@michael_ahrens](https://twitter.com/michael_ahrens)

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:46 PM
To: Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com; Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: RE: texts from last night

I didn't know the RNC had them until you just said so. As I think I've now made pretty clear—these texts went to the hill. After that happened, a handful of reporters who have 24/7 hard passes to DOJ here could the hard copy of them in my offices. I have my hard copy stamped and it hasn't left this hallway. A lot of other people had these texts and I don't know what the dozen plus committees and members who had them last night did with them.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com [mailto:Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:38 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fwd: texts from last night


So who released these to RNC ? And then why the clandestine dealings with reporters ?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Michael Ahrens - Communications/Research <Mahrens@gop.com>
Date: December 13, 2017 at 15:24:11 EST
To: Michael Ahrens - Communications/Research <Mahrens@gop.com>
Subject: texts from last night

Duplicative



Prior, Ian (OPA)

From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:43 PM
To: Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com; Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: texts from last night

By the looks of it they just took the info from the fox report and created graphics

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b) (6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com [mailto:Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:38 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fwd: texts from last night

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:53 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Re: This is Eli Lake from Bloomberg View. Deadline query on text messages

Note Strohm was invited and declined

On Dec 13, 2017, at 12:52 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:


Going to give him approved statement

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: 202.532.3954

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click [here](#).

From: Press
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:51 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Pettit, Mark T. (OPA) <mtpettit@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: This is Eli Lake from Bloomberg View. Deadline query on text messages

Duplicative




Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:53 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Re: This is Eli Lake from Bloomberg View. Deadline query on text messages

Ok

On Dec 13, 2017, at 12:52 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 10:24 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Re: Tweet forwarded by @iprior1177

(b) (5) We often provide information we give to the hill to avoid any confusion (on background (b) (5))

On Dec 13, 2017, at 9:59 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Just confirmed that the Justice Department invited reporters over to DOJ last night to look at the Strzok/Page texts — and report them out — in case they did not leak in time for Rosenstein's hearing today. Story TK.

Original Tweet: <https://twitter.com/NatashaBertrand/status/940959111864340480>

Sent via TweetDeck

Date UTC	Date Correction	Internal Phone Number	Type of Message	From	To	RECIPIENTS_JSON	Body	Type	Attachment file type
2015-08-16 20:52:54, Sun		20 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		Love this line in the article: "the fbi and dhs are in charge of tracking the activities of foreign govt agents inside the US..." Uh, in what universe is that dhs's job?!	sms	
2015-08-16 20:53:26, Sun		20 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		You are wildly wrong.	sms	
2015-08-16 20:54:36, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	+1 202- [REDACTED]			Well, I'm sure Jeh Johnson said it is...gotta assume it's some wildly liberal interpretation of immigration responsibilities..	sms	
2015-08-16 21:31:37, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		Finally, and related to nothing, but I just saw my first Bernie Sanders bumper sticker. Made me want to key the car.	sms	
2015-08-16 21:37:53, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	+1 202- [REDACTED]			He's an idiot like Trump. Figure they cancel each other out.	sms	
2015-08-30 18:18:49, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nhttp://wapo.st/1JAqfVk	sms	
2015-10-14 01:11:52, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	+1 202- [REDACTED]			And Martin O'Malley's a douche	sms	
2015-10-14 01:14:29, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		I'm not watching. I can't tell you how little I care right now.	sms	
2015-10-14 01:17:12, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	+1 202- [REDACTED]			Kind of a foregone conclusion but so much more substantive than the Rep debates	sms	
2015-10-14 02:22:05, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	+1 202- [REDACTED]			Ooh hillary Bernie throw down on 215	sms	
2015-11-01 23:19:31, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		+1 202- [REDACTED]		Hey, I assume going forward that it's okay to send entirely innocuous news articles, right?	sms	

PS = inbox
LP = outbox

2015-11-01 23:21:36, Sun	202-██████████	OUTBOX	+1 202-██████████	██████████	██████████	Anyway, I sent one. And I hope Paul Ryan fails and crashes in a blaze of glory.	sms	
2015-11-01 23:23:04, Sun	202-██████████	INBOX	+1 202-██████████	██████████	██████████	Yes. And, me too. At some point the Rep party needs to pull their head out of their *ss. Shows no sign of occurring any time soon.	sms	
2015-12-13 01:36:54, Sun	202-██████████	OUTBOX	██████████	██████████	██████████	And funny re ██████████ husband, bc Kasich has long been suspected of being gay. Lived with his campaign manager for a loooooong time, until maybe 10+ years ago when he married a supermodel wife and immediately popped out kids, twins even, I think.	sms	██████████
2015-12-21 01:19:26, Mon	202-██████████	OUTBOX	██████████	██████████	██████████	What an utter idiot. An article to share: Donald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019 Donald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019 http://wapo.st/1Pjfmkv	sms	██████████
2015-12-21 01:47:08, Mon	202-██████████	INBOX	██████████	██████████	██████████	No doubt. \U0001f612 Ok to gmail some pics?	sms	██████████
2015-12-28 18:26:22, Mon	202-██████████	OUTBOX	██████████	██████████	██████████	It's sick, but I really like policy issues. And having tight deadlines. Sigh...	sms	██████████

2015-12-28 19:18:11, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	202 [REDACTED] ;202 [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1451330292169,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Is that what doj wanted?	sms	[REDACTED]
2015-12-28 19:18:32, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	202 [REDACTED] ;202 [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1451330314011,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	You get all our oconus [REDACTED] approved? ;)	sms	[REDACTED]
2015-12-28 19:19:41, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	202 [REDACTED] ;202 [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1451330382934,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	No, it's just implicated a much bigger policy issue. I'll explain later. Might even be able to use it as pretext for a call... :)	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-01-18 03:13:35, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	202 [REDACTED] ;202 [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1453086817192,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":{"},"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Martin O'Malley's a freakshow	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-01-18 03:14:59, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	202 [REDACTED] ;202 [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1453086901168,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":{"},"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Yikes baby\n\nYeah, that's what everyone says.\n\nOkay, really going to bed now	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-01-22 17:50:34, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	202 [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1453485036202,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	Yeah, some extremely offensive video screens set up in front of dist ct. Thank goodness D can't read and wasn't paying attention. Blood and guts and gore. I truly hate these people. No support for the woman who actually has to spend the rest of her life rearing this child, but we care about "life." Assholes.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-01-26 00:16:54, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	202 [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1453767415196,"uniqueid":299,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	And J*sus Martin O'Malley, just pack it in and go home!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-02-19 02:17:26, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1455848246411,"uniqueid":109,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	NOW HOW THE F CAN HE BE A REPUBLICAN? !?!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-02-19 02:17:59, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1455848279516,"uniqueid":109,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	I have absolutely no idea. Still, he is so very interesting.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-02 01:20:11, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1456881611411,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<pre>["emails":["[REDACTED]"]]</pre>	Yes, they should.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:20:29, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1456881629803,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<pre>["emails":["[REDACTED]"]]</pre>	Seriously?! Would you not D?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:20:39, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1456881640024,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<pre>["emails":["[REDACTED]"]]</pre>	I don't know. I suppose Hillary.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:20:46, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1456881646521,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<pre>["emails":["[REDACTED]"]]</pre>	I would D	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-02 01:21:00, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[[{"modified":1456881661132,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]	He's doesn't think you're an R, does he?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:21:04, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[[{"modified":1456881665043,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]	VA's going to go to her anyway.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:21:17, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[[{"modified":1456881677261,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]	He thinks i wouldn't vote for her right now	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:21:24, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[[{"modified":1456881684732,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]	He's knows I'm a conservative Dem	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-03-02 01:21:37, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1456881697711,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]"}] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] But now I wonder.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-02 01:21:45, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1456881705622,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] "(202) [REDACTED]"}] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Got it.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:10:50, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457057450611,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] "(202) [REDACTED]"}] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] God trump is a loathsome human.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:11:26, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457057487127,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] "(202) [REDACTED]"}] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Yet he may win	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:11:35, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1457057495877,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	Good for Hillary	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:11:51, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1457057511998,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	It is.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:12:46, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1457057566651,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	Would he be a worse president than cruz?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:13:14, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1457057594254,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	Trump? Yes, I think so.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:13:37, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457057618013,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	I'm not sure	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:20:04, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457058004250,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Omg he's an idiot	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:20:33, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457058034165,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	He's awful.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:21:02, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457058063039,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	America will get what the voting public deserves	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:21:36, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058097168,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}	That's what I'm afraid of.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:22:11, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058131427,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}	Department of Environmental Protection? !?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:22:33, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058153325,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}	Yep.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:24:25, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058265613,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}	God Hillary should win 100,000,000 - 0.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:25:16, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058317156,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	I know.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:34:56, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457058897140,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be president.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:37:06, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457059027021,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Yes I did. In relation to the size of his hands.\n\nAnd all the "Little Marco" blah blah blah	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:38:08, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457059088809,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	And God, Detroit used to be SO beautiful and shining and elegant. \U0001f61e Sigh.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:38:35, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1457059115246,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]} </pre>	I know. Detroit is really a beautiful city. Camden was too.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:56:09, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1457060170175,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]} </pre>	Ok I may vote for Trump \n\n;	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:57:00, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1457060221215,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]} </pre>	"And look, I'M OLD"	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 02:58:39, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1457060319786,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]} </pre>	What?!\n\nPoor Kasich. He's the only sensible man up there.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-03-04 02:59:53, Fri	202	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457060394063,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	He was pretty much calling for death for Snowden. I'm a single issue voter. ;)\n\nEspionage Machine Party	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-04 03:00:23, Fri	202	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457060423340,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Exactly re Kasich. And he has ZERO appeal	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-12 20:58:35, Sat	202	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457816315583,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	What the f is wrong with people?\n\nA Texas Candidate Pushes the Boundary of the Far Right http://nyti.ms/1QTqBqj	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-03-12 21:05:11, Sat	202	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1457816711329,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end up with President trump	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-03-12 21:07:50, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457816870461,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Wasn't it? Seriously, how are people so incredibly ignorant?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-12 21:12:46, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457817166608,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	I have no idea, but it depresses me. Same people who drive more when they get extra daylight from daylight savings, I'm guessing.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-12 21:21:03, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457817664195,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	\U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and It\u2019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.html?_r=0	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-14 11:53:08, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457956388413,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Don't listen to npr this morning - Richard Clarke is an uninformed douche. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-14 11:53:43, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457956423620,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["2024371838","(202)324-4552"],"emails":["Peter.Strzok@ic.fbi.gov"]}]	Yeah, I really don't like him. What did he say?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-14 11:54:39, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457956480005,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Totally unnecessary. He's awful.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-14 11:58:38, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457956718953,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["(202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	You can tell me about [REDACTED] and we can get indignant together.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-03-14 12:11:09, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1457957470357,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["(202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	It is not boring or weak. It is life, and I am happy to help. \n\nHe is a blowhard who doesn't know what he is talking about anymore, if he ever did. \n\nI will when I can. \n\nNot sure what his schedule is like this am.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-03-16 04:11:51, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1458101511904,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candidate for president.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-04-02 01:19:29, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1459559970236,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	So look, you say we text on that phone when we talk about hillary because it can't be traced, you were just venting bc you feel bad that you're gone so much but it can't be helped right now.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-04-02 01:20:30, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1459560030794,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Right. But did you say anything other than work? I did, but may have only gotten smiles or blushes back	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-04-09 01:18:28, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1460164708322,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	All this from N:\www.greenpeace.org/usa/campaign-updates/hillary-clintons-connections-oil-gas-industry\n\nEverything sanders said about Clinton is true. If you ready through their fact check they don't state a single thing of his is "false", however they argue that it is completely false because 1.4 million from fossil fuel lobbyists and 3.3 million directly from large donors connected to the fossil fuel industry, a total of 4.5 million alone in 2016 as "not much" compared to her total raising a	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-04-09 01:18:35, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1460164716071,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	This is clear and utter bias by the media specifically the NYTIMES, WAPO, and CNN who if you look at all of them have large donors for Clinton	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-04-09 01:18:45, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1460164725920,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	The fact citing source they used is owned by a newspaper which publically endorsed Clinton	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-05-04 00:40:51, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1462322452120,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	and holy shit Cruz just dropped out of the race. It's going to be a Clinton Trump race. Unbelievable.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-05-04 00:41:24, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1462322484639,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	What?!!??	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-05-04 00:41:37, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1462322497801,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	You heard that right my friend.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-05-04 00:41:37, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1462322497753,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I saw trump won, figured it would be a bit	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1465695188957,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	They fully deserve to go, and demonstrate the absolute bigoted nonsense of Trump	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1465695188893,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Truly	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-06-17 21:56:15, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1466200576312,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are holding their breath, hoping.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-06-22 16:39:25, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1466613565908,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Hi. Just leaving my meeting now. How we make law in this country is offensive and irresponsible. \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-06-22 16:41:56, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1466613717529,"uniqueid":211,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	I know it is. Its why i LOATHE congress. Can't wait to hear the story.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-07 10:36:34, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1467887796027,"uniqueid":497,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Thought this was spot on.\n\nHillary Clinton: Ma\u2019u2019am Survivor http://nyti.ms/29z00ku	sms		[REDACTED]

<p>2016-07-08 22:45:38, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":14680179 39983,"uniqueid":497," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>And meanwhile, we have Black Lives Matter protesters, right now, chanting "no justice no peace" around DOJ and the White House...</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-08 23:15:13, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":14680197 14390,"uniqueid":497," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["20 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>That's awful...</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-10 01:22:24, Sun</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":14681137 45048,"uniqueid":497," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>I didn't get the chance to ask about the B9s. But I did sit and stare at the portrait of Elliot Richardson staring at me.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-10 01:22:44, Sun</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":14681137 65919,"uniqueid":497," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>It's next to the portrait of Eric Holder, which is wildly offensive.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-07-14 01:33:53, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468460034702,"uniqueid":672,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Have you read this? It's really frightening. \n\nFor Whites Sensing Decline, Donald Trump Unleashes Words of Resistance http://ny1.ms/29WCu5l	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-07-14 01:35:35, Thu	20 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468460136245,"uniqueid":672,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	I have not. But I think it's clear he's capturing all the white, poor voters who the mainstream Republicans abandoned in all but name in the quest for the almighty \$\$\$\$	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-07-14 01:36:32, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468460193390,"uniqueid":672,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Yeah, it's not good.\n\nAnyway, [REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-07-14 11:04:45, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468494286367,"uniqueid":672,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]] [REDACTED] "emails": [REDACTED]	Poll Finds Emails Weighing on Hillary Clinton, Now Tied With Donald Trump http://ny1.ms/29RV5ef	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-07-14 11:05:15, Thu		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1468494316437,"uniqueid":672,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	It is.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:12:19, Tue		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1468887140729,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	And are you kidding me? Duck Dynasty now Scott Baio? Ridiculous.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:27:05, Tue		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1468888026599,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	Walt, is that who is speaking at the convention?!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:27:24, Tue		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1468888045751,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	Yes!!!!!!	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-07-19 00:27:27, Tue	202-██████████	OUTBOX	(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202-██████████	<pre>{["modified":14688880 48697,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202-██████████"(202) ██████████,"emails": ██████████</pre>	Charles in Charge?! That's the best they can do?! Lmfao	sms	██████████
2016-07-19 00:27:37, Tue	202-██████████	INBOX	(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202-██████████	<pre>{["modified":14688880 58539,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202-██████████(202) ██████████,"emails": ██████████</pre>	It's PATHETIC!	sms	██████████
2016-07-19 00:27:59, Tue	202-██████████	OUTBOX	(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202-██████████	<pre>{["modified":14688880 80131,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202-██████████"(202) ██████████,"emails": ██████████</pre>	That unbelievable. My god. Thank god it's not on.	sms	██████████
2016-07-19 00:28:44, Tue	202-██████████	INBOX	(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202-██████████	<pre>{["modified":14688881 24979,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202-██████████(202) ██████████,"emails": ██████████</pre>	It's on! PBS!	sms	██████████

<p>2016-07-19 00:29:56, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468888197543,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>What did you do? Republican snark. \U0001f636</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-07-19 00:36:18, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468888579696,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>AND COME ON!!!! TURN ON THE CONVENTION!!!!</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-07-19 00:37:52, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468888673925,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>NO! I WILL NOT BE SUCKED IN!</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-07-19 00:43:55, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468889036511,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>TURN IT ON, PBS. [REDACTED]</p>	<p>sms</p>		

2016-07-19 00:44:39, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468889080677,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	[REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:45:03, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468889104289,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Well Christ. YOU got me there. :D	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:45:25, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468889126065,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	[REDACTED] likely to come down shortly so we can bust through more of this sh*t. Just fyi.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:57:55, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468889876249,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Oooh, TURN IT ON, TURN IT ON!!! THE DOUCHEBAGS ARE ABOUT TO COME OUT. \n\nYou can tell by the excitable clapping.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

<p>2016-07-19 01:04:46, Tue</p>	<p>20 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1468890287450,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>My god, I'm so embarrassed for them. These are like second-run stars. Nothing the B-list to relate to the kids these days...</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 02:23:29, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1468895010321,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>And wow, Donald Trump is an enormous d*uche.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 10:16:23, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1468923984188,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Hi. How was Trump, other than a douche? Melania? And any luck with home purchases? \n\nI have [REDACTED] this morning. \U0001f61d</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 10:18:31, Tue</p>	<p>20 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1468923512571,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win soooo big." The whole thing is like living in a bad dream.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

<p>2016-07-19 10:19:41, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468923582708,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Jesus</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 10:20:06, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468923607407,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Melania was perfectly fine, except the whole point of the spouse talking is to reveal those personal stories, what a and human the candidate is. There was none of that.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 10:21:20, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468923681261,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>That was her job! What the hell did she talk about? Winning youuge?</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-07-19 10:22:12, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 02 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1468923733422,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>I don't know. Lots of my husband is great, but no description to back it up.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-07-19 11:18:46, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468927127581,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails" [REDACTED]	Omg. You listening to npr? Apparently Melania's speech had passages lifted from Michele Obama's....unbelievable	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 11:19:25, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468927166021,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails" [REDACTED]	NO WAY!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 11:20:06, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468927207666,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails" [REDACTED]	God, it's just a two-bit organization. I do so hope his dsorganization comes to bite him hard in November.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 11:21:13, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468927274701,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1","numbers":["202-[REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails" [REDACTED]	It HAS to, right? Right?!? Panicked \JG001f628	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-07-20 00:14:02, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468973644056,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]}	Hopefully you get home in time for crazy - ass grain storage pyramid Ben Carson tonight.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-20 00:14:10, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468973651757,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]}	Pence being introduced	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-20 01:12:03, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468977124001,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]}	Mitch McConnell always reminds me of a turtle.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-07-20 01:14:54, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1468977295503,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED] [REDACTED]}	My god, the crowd looks soooooo bored.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-07-20 01:15:04, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468977305381,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Droopy dog	mms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-20 01:22:23, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1468977744340,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Amd Paul Ryan's a jerky	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-21 08:52:19, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469091140788,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	This is really shocking.\n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2ai4u3g	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-21 09:09:58, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469092199358,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over and over again.\n\nHow Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://nyti.ms/2a8aCJ9	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2015-07-26 23:54:42, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577284264,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]]	And hey. Congrats on a woman nominated for President in a major party!\n\nAbout damn time! Many many more returns of the day! \U0001f60a	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 23:56:57, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577418480,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]]	\U0001f60a That's cute. Thanks. \U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 23:57:51, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577472858,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]]	\U0001f60a That's cute. Thanks. \U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 23:58:20, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577501197,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]]	I had to hold [REDACTED] hand when Bernie just now moved for her nomination. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-26 23:58:48, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577529824,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I'm not watching. What happened?	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:02:08, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577729469,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	They went thru roll call, she got enough votes about an hour ago. Vermont went last, they cast their votes. Then introduced Bernie, who called for some procedural things then moved for HRC to become the Democratic Nominee.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:02:41, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577762238,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Chills, just because I'm a homer for American democracy that way. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:03:06, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577787947,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	If they played patriotic music or did something with the flag and an honor guard, i probably would have teared up.....	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-27 00:05:18, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469577919880,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Turn on pbs!	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:07:14, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469578035248,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Oh God, Holder! Turn it off turn it off turn it off!!!	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:11:47, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469578308875,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Yeah, I saw him yesterday and booed at the tv. \U0001f60a	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:11:56, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469578317546,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Sigh. Thank you. \U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-27 00:21:39, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469578900402,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"]}]	Yeah, it is pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. The sandernistas have the potential to make a vary big mistake here...	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:32:09, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469579530708,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"]}]	I'm not worried about them. I'm worried about the anarchist Assanges who will take fed information and disclose it to disrupt. [REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 02:54:17, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469588058703,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"]}]	So sad, the comment about more yesterdays than tomorrows. \U0001f622\n\nAnd i don't like Chelsea! Her husband even less...\U0001f612	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 02:57:07, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469588228690,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"]}]	I like Chelsea fine. Why not?	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-27 03:00:18, Wed	2026 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469588419329,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","4 [REDACTED]"}], [{"email": "[REDACTED]"}]}	Self entitled. Feels she deserves something she hasn't earned	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 00:59:03, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469667543952,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","4 [REDACTED]"}], [{"email": "[REDACTED]"}]}	Stupid*ss Bernie supporters shouting no more war so that he couldn't be heard hardly at all. I'm sorry, they're idiots.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:01:27, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469667688232,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"," [REDACTED]"}], [{"email": "[REDACTED]"}]}	They really are.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:18:01, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469668682102,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"," [REDACTED]"}], [{"email": "[REDACTED]"}]}	I really really like Joe Biden.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-28 01:24:09, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469669050983,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Was [literally grabbing phone to say Joe's doing great!	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:26:14, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469669175210,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	He's just a really sincere guy.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:29:15, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469669356281,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	[REDACTED] said he was absolutely beloved by Delaware State Police. And funny story about him and gtwn basketball team in China a few years ago. Too long for here.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:51:10, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1469670675744,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Opened on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices would...	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-07-28 01:54:20, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1469670861681,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]	This article highlights the thing I mentioned to you earlier, asking if Bill had noted it to 7th floor. I'm going to send it to him	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-01 01:38:23, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470015504253,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]	I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? \n\nDonald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine http://nyti.ms/2arMCyV	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-06 14:38:09, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470494290616,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]	Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go f himself. \n\nMoment in Convention Glare Shakes Up Khans\u2019 American Life http://nyti.ms/2aHuLE0	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-06 14:53:36, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470495217938,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["2024 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]	God that's a great article. \U0001f621\uU0001f61e\uU0001f61e\u2764\n\nThanks for sharing. \n\nAnd F Trump.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-08-06 14:55:00, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1470495301312,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]]	And maybe you're meant to stay where you are because you're meant to protect the country from that menace. To that end, read this:	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-06 14:55:19, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1470495320283,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]]	Trump\u2019s Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand http://nyti.ms/2aFakry	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-06 15:04:43, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1470495884167,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]]	Thanks. It's absolutely true that we're both very fortunate. \n\nAnd of course I'll try and approach it that way. I just know it will be tough at times.\n\nI can protect our country at many levels, not sure if that helps...	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-06 15:05:51, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1470495952221,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}]]	I know it will too. But it's just a job. It's not a reflection of your worth or quality or smarts.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-08-06 15:28:50, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470497332107,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["(202) [REDACTED]"]}]	I really like this:\nHe appears to have no ability to experience reverence, which is the foundation for any capacity to admire or serve anything bigger than self, to want to learn about anything beyond self, to want to know and deeply honor the people around you.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-06 15:30:59, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470497460415,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["(202) [REDACTED]"]}]	Sigh. That's the paragraph, upon reading, that caused me to want to send it to you. \U0001f636	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-09 03:26:25, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470713187285,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["(202) [REDACTED]"]}]	He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-08-09 21:56:09, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470779771230,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["(202) [REDACTED]"]}]	OMG did you hear what Trump just said?	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

<p>2016-08-11 00:53:46, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1470876827378,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>Why Latitude? (other than it sounds badass, and you came up with it \U0001f636)</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-11 00:54:24, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1470876865859,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>Trying to think of something loosely military, without being obvious.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-11 00:56:56, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1470877018143,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>YUUUUGE.\n\nThough we may save that for the man, if we ever open on him ;)</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-11 00:57:27, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1470877048774,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"}]</p>	<p>OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>

2016-08-11 00:57:41, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1470877062689,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]}	What the hell has happened to our country!?!?!??	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 10:55:22, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1471172124580,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]}	God this makes me so angry.\n\nDonald Trump Is Making America Meaner http://nytl.ms/2b6gG38	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 11:00:46, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1471172447765,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]}	And I am worried about what Trump is encouraging in our behavior. The things that made me proud about our tolerance for dissent - what makes us different from Sunnis and Shias losing each other up - is disappearing.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 11:01:54, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1471172515367,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","[REDACTED]","[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].[REDACTED]"}]}	I'm worried about what happens if HRC is elected. \n\nAnd perfect, another excessive heat warning day.	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-08-15 10:29:55, Mon		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14712569 96237,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"},{"email":"[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}]] </pre>	<p>I want to believe the path you threw out for consideration in Andy's office - that there's no way he gets elected - but I'm afraid we can't take that risk. It's like an insurance policy in the unlikely event you die before you're 40...</p>	sms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 10:28:37, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED];(20 [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14714297 17586,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"},{"email":"[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"},{" modified":1471429717 778,"uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"[REDACTED]","lastNa me":"[REDACTED]","notes":[], "organizations":[],"num bers":["(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"},{"email":"[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}]] </pre>	<p>An article to share: Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser http://wapo.st/2bzAUGD</p>	mms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 10:29:25, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED];(20 [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14714297 66248,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"},{"email":"[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"},{" modified":1471429766 534,"uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"[REDACTED]","lastNa me":"[REDACTED]","notes":[], "organizations":[],"num bers":["(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"},{"email":"[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}]] </pre>	<p>Just reading it</p>	mms	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

<p>2016-08-17 11:02:31, Wed</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1471431752558,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-26 16:42:40, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1472229762697,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support...</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-26 16:54:18, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1472230461303,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>Yup. Out to lunch with [REDACTED] We both hate everyone and everything.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-26 17:02:52, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1472230973957,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>I want to be there and hate with you, or charm you back to happy \n\nLooked for the two trump yard signs I saw on the way out to take a picture, but couldn't see them</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>

<p>2016-08-26 20:51:12, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1472244673868,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":["organizations":["numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202 [REDACTED])"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]]}]</p>	<p>Just riffing on the hot mess that is our country.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-26 20:52:28, Fri</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1472244749866,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":["organizations":["numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202 [REDACTED])"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]]}]</p>	<p>Yeah...it's scary real down here</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-30 09:44:50, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1472550291743,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":["organizations":["numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202 [REDACTED])"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]]}]</p>	<p>Here we go:\nHarry Reid Cites Evidence of Russian Tampering in U.S. Vote, and Seeks F.B.I. Inquiry\n\http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/08/30/us/politics/harry-reid-russia-tampering-election-fbi.html</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-08-30 09:45:20, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>{["modified":1472550320865,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. I Strzok","notes":["organizations":["numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202 [REDACTED])"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]]}]</p>	<p>But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some of Donald J. Trump\u2019s former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward Russia.\n\n\u201cTrump and his people keep saying the election is rigged,\u201d Mr. Reid said. \u201cWhy is he saying that? Because people are telling him the election can be messed with,\u201d Mr. Trump\u2019s advisers say they are concerned that unnamed elites could rig the election for his opponent, Hillary Clinton.</p>	<p>mms</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>

<p>2016-08-30 09:45:44, Tue</p>		<p>202-██████████</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202 ██████████</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1472550345614,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-██████████","(202) ██████████ ██████████"],"emails":["██████████@██████████.com"]}]</pre>	<p>██████████ called him and told him he would be sending a letter.</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-08-30 09:46:15, Tue</p>		<p>202-██████████</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202 ██████████</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1472550377291,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-██████████","(202) ██████████ ██████████"],"emails":["██████████@██████████.com"]}]</pre>	<p>Trying isn't enough! \n\nOr rather, it may be, but I'm not content with trying. \n\nSeriously, I'm walking over and paying for it today. You need to tell me a time.</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-08-30 09:46:29, Tue</p>		<p>202-██████████</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202 ██████████</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1472550390383,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-██████████","(202) ██████████ ██████████"],"emails":["██████████@██████████.com"]}]</pre>	<p>Bill didn't mention it \U0001f612</p>	<p>sms</p>		
<p>2016-08-30 09:51:55, Tue</p>		<p>202-██████████</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) ██████████ ██████████ 202 ██████████</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1472550717103,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-██████████","(202) ██████████ ██████████"],"emails":["██████████@██████████.com"]}]</pre>	<p>And holy cow, let me send you the Reid letter!</p>	<p>sms</p>		

2016-08-31 03:27:14, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1472614037482,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	Did you ever look at this? It's incredibly powerful. And really, really depressing. \n\nAt Least 110 Republican Leaders Won\u2019t Vote for Donald Trump. Here\u2019s When They Reached Their Breaking Point. http://nyti.ms/2bTNAbb	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-08-31 11:37:05, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":14720453427118,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	Re the case, Jim Baker honks you should have it. But I'm sure andy would defer to bill. I won't mention.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-08-31 11:39:54, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":14720453495655,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	[REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-09-03 14:28:55, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1472912936384,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	I've been busy distracting myself, waiting on N to get up, not wanting to spin, reading the Federalist Papers looking for a great foreign influence quote. Yeah, I'm a nerd.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-09-12 11:25:13, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1473679514885,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. li Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	Npr says Trump hotel opens today. It doesn't look ready...	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-09-12 11:26:16, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1473679577884,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	That's one place I hope I never stay in.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-09-12 11:29:23, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1473679764099,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Agreed. Hope it falls horribly. It wont, but still.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-09-27 00:40:23, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1474936825368,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Did you read this? It's scathing. And I'm scared.\n\nWhy Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dbQPuR	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-09-27 00:40:43, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[[{"modified":1474936844910,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Man, I should have started drinking earlier. I'm genuinely stressed about the debate.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-09-27 10:37:52, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1474972675375,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Can I ask you a question about yesterday's discussion? Why rule out a job at DoJ. Not NSD or ODAG?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-27 10:38:13, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1474972694689,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Too political?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-27 10:39:43, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1474972785351,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	No way. I don't see what I get out of that, and I'd have to deal with all the political BS.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-27 10:40:32, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1474972834476,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Political connections. Better entree into other jobs? Maybe not the latter.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-09-29 01:10:28, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111430732,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	And suddenly I'm realizing, they're like Trump demographic people, just democrats. \U0001f612	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:10:29, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111430837,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Like [REDACTED]!!!!!!!\n\nOh sweet jes*s I need to send you what [REDACTED] has been sending. The liberal media is all in the tank for Hillary. Because, you know, Trump isn't batsh*t crazy for our country...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:11:38, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111500556,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Please don't. I really don't want to know what is out there.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:13:46, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111627478,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	[REDACTED] is crazy, btw	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-09-29 01:14:28, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111670034,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	EVERYTHING about him is a dem. Except maybe string national defense (except Hillary is that but she can't be, because, you know, CLINTON!).	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:17:46, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111868092,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	I know. His political affiliation is truly baffling. He's a HUGE D.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:18:16, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111897651,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	I know!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:18:29, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475111910415,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	I WANT YOU TO MEET HIM AND CONVINCHE HIM!	sms		[REDACTED]

<p>2016-09-29 01:19:06, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1475111948073,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>You're very persuasive. \n\nWe'll have to stay here as my iPhone is apparently syncing and downloading for the rest of the night \U0001f612</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-09-29 01:53:27, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1475114009697,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>"Found it hard to focus"? \n\n"Found it hard to focus"?!?!?! \n\nAre you f*cking kidding me?!?!?! \n\nDonald Trump got too much debate advice, so he took none of it. \nhttp://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2016/09/28/donald_trump_got_too_much_debate_advice_so_he_took_none_of_it.html</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-06 23:11:14, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1475795476385,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>So, I think [REDACTED] \U0001f628</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-06 23:18:44, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1475795927018,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]"]}]</p>	<p>What?!?! Why? \n\nInvite [REDACTED] and Giacalone to your housewarming! That'll be fun!</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-10-06 23:20:17, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475796019053,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	We got a list of kids with their parents' names. How many (b) (6)s could there be in DC? Showed J a picture, he said he thinks he has seen a guy who kinda looks like that, but always really schlubby. I said that sounds like every reporter I have ever seen.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:21:08, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475796069964,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Found what I think might be their address too.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:21:37, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475796098778,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	He's TOTALLY schlubby! Dont you remember?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:22:31, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475795152840,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Wife is [REDACTED] Found address looking for her. Lawyer.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-06 23:24:01, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	{["modified":14757962 43928,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	Address?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:24:34, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	{["modified":14757962 76810,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	They met at [REDACTED] Good on him, he started writing at the school paper.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:24:58, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	{["modified":14757962 99437,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] I think.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:26:39, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	{["modified":14757964 02856,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]	Just have to look up if it is inbounds for [REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-10-06 23:26:41, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475796402938,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	I wouldn't search on your work phone...no idea what that might trigger in [REDACTED] shop....;)	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:27:31, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475796452727,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Oops. Too late.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 10:02:33, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475834554849,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Yeah and I made the mistake of reading some stupid NY Post article about how agents are ready to revolt against D because of MY...now I'm really angry....	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 10:03:33, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1475834614778,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	There are a bunch of really ignorant people out there blinded by their politics	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-07 10:03:56, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14758346 37703,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED] </pre>	You can't read that sh*t. And honestly, let them. The bu would be better off without them.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 10:04:02, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14758346 44319,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED] </pre>	There are.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 10:04:37, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14758346 80120,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED] </pre>	Sadly reminds me how deeply politics, like religion, can sometimes blind objectivity.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 10:04:38, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14758346 80207,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ":["202 [REDACTED]"(202) [REDACTED] "emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED] </pre>	I can't help it. It's click bait. I emailed it to you.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-10-08 12:35:47, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1475930149130,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Currently reading about Trump. Wondering if he stepped down if Pence could actually get elected.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-08 12:36:20, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1475930182229,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	That's probably more likely than Trump getting elected.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-08 12:37:10, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1475930232123,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I agree. I think it would actually energize the Republican vote.\n\nAnd no, not really re path forward.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-09 21:07:51, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1476047273354,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	And funny quote from my cousin-in-law: "No way Trump will drop out. Hey Republicans: how does it feel to carry something to term?"	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-10 01:23:55, Mon		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1476062637553,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<p>Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the MYE outcome and he's getting a special prosecutor.</p>	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-12 03:04:57, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1476241499223,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<p>Hot damn. Big news day. \n\nBuffett Calls Trump\u2019s Bluff and Releases His Tax Data http://nyti.ms/2dSI0MS</p>	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-12 03:16:35, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1476242197719,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<p>Wow, more forceful than I have seen him. Wonder what [REDACTED] would say about it. \n\nDonald Trump\u2019s Sad, Lonely Life http://nyti.ms/2dTCzXP</p>	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:40:26, Fri		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1476405629904,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"}]</pre>	<p>Not sure why I thought this was so neat. Suppose it's just the law nerd in me. \n\nThe Times\u2019s Lawyer Responds to Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2e0WNza</p>	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-14 00:40:28, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1476405630136,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "organizations":[], "numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	<p>God, she's an incredibly impressive woman. The Obamas in general, really. While he has certainly made mistakes, I'm proud to have had him as my president. \n\nVoice Shaking, Michelle Obama Calls Trump Comments on Women \u2018Intolerable\u2019 http://nytl.ms/2e0MtqY</p>	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:48:08, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1476406089208,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "organizations":[], "numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} [{"modified":1476406089432,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa", "notes":[], "organizations":[], "numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	<p>Ugh. More of the same. \n\nDonald Trump, Slipping in Polls, Warns of \u2018Stolen Election\u2019 http://nytl.ms/2e07imx</p>	mms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:51:26, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":1476406286385,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "organizations":["[REDACTED]"], "numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} [{"modified":1476406286442,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa", "notes":[], "organizations":["[REDACTED]"], "numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	<p>Nope. Full of dog whistles too: "We do not want this election stolen from us. Everybody knows what I'm talking about." The racism is barely even veiled anymore.</p>	mms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-14 00:53:52, Fri	202	OUTBOX	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1476406432962,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]-(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]} {"modified":1476406433020,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	The Roger Stone comments are scary as sh*t.	mms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:00:59, Fri	202	INBOX	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 102 [REDACTED]</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1476406860248,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]-(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]} {"modified":1476406860298,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	Roger Stone is horrible.	mms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-15 01:26:22, Sat	202	INBOX	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<pre>[{"modified":1476494784621,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]-(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]} </pre>	Stone \u2018happy to cooperate\u2019 with FBI on WikiLeaks, Russian hacking probes - POLITICO\nhttp://www.politico.com/story/2016/10/roger-stone-fbi-wikileaks-russia-229821	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-10-15 21:25:48, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476566751121,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	That didn't take long \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-15 21:28:10, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476566892312,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	At least we made the f-ers work on the weekend...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-15 21:30:09, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476567011583,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	Uh, and, yeah - like they're doing to us.\n\nI HATE this case.\n\nAnd a LOT to tell you about my convo with JG...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-15 22:04:26, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476569067977,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails": [REDACTED]}]	Very nice work on that initial statement. Maybe we can talk tomorrow re JG..	sms		[REDACTED]

<p>2016-10-17 23:58:22, Mon</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1476748703061,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p> <p>[{"modified":1476748703097,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>I'm seriously thinking one of us needs to host election night party.</p>	<p>mms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-18 00:00:22, Tue</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1476748822470,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p> <p>[{"modified":1476748822564,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>I nominate [REDACTED]</p>	<p>mms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

<p>2016-10-18 00:02:35, Tue</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] (20 2) [REDACTED] 202</p>	<pre> [{"modified":14767489 55524,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]","[REDACTED] [REDACTED]","[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}], {"modified":1476748955 589,"uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"Jon","lastNa me":"Moffa","notes":[], "organizations":["],"num bers":["(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}]} </pre>	<p>I'll probably have to write talking points (likely for CyD) so I won't be able to make it.</p>	<p>mms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-18 00:05:39, Tue</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (20 2) [REDACTED] 20 2) [REDACTED] 202</p>	<pre> [{"modified":14767491 39291,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":["],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]","[REDACTED] [REDACTED]","[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}], {"modified":1476749139 382,"uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"Jon","lastNa me":"Moffa","notes":[], "organizations":["],"num bers":["(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"}]} </pre>	<p>You don't have to write talking points for Cyber if they don't tell you about the tasking!</p>	<p>mms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-10-18 00:28:47, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 20 [REDACTED] 20 [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1476750527678,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com","[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com","[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"}], [{"modified":1476750527778,"uniqueid":892,"firstName":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	CyD prepping for election day on November 35th....	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-19 09:55:26, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1476870928349,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	You see Tim Cook made the list of potential HRC running mates?	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-19 09:57:48, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1476871070223,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	It was a big list, but still, he was on there. From Podesta email.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-19 13:04:19, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1476882261564,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Came up with election night plan - we should all hit HH somewhere. Figure this damn thing better be called early. \U0001f612\n\nYou watching the debate tonight?	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

<p>2016-10-20 01:15:44, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[[{"modified":1476926146064,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>I am fied up. Trump is a fucking idiot, is unable to provide a coherent answer.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-20 01:22:36, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[[{"modified":1476926558535,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>I CAN'T PULLAWAY. WHAT THE FUCK HAPPENED TO OUR COUNTRY [REDACTED] ????</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-20 01:24:19, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[[{"modified":1476926661270,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>I don't know. But we'll get it back. We're America. We rock.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-20 01:28:22, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[[{"modified":1476926920673,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["1"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"}], "emails": [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Donald just said "bad hombres" <pre> n\nU0001f612 </pre> </p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-10-20 01:30:00, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476927009539,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]	Chris Wallace is a turd	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:30:02, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476927009658,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]	Hillary: Russia and WikiLeaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Putin!!!\n\nDrink!!!!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:32:40, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476927161622,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]	Oh hot damn. HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:50:50, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1476928251695,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":" [REDACTED]"}]	She could do SO MUCH BETTER \n\nBut she's just not getting traction. \n\nJesus. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]

<p>2016-10-20 02:02:43, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1476928965079,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Maybe. I have to watch this. \n\nAnd I'm so damn mad \n\nAnd disgusted, And disappointed.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-20 02:12:37, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1476929558602,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Trump just said what the fbi did is disgraceful</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-20 09:56:27, Thu</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1476957389790,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Hi. Watching the post-debate commentary. Vaguely satisfying to see Megyn Kelley (who had botox and looks HORRIBLE) utterly going after Trump.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-24 00:00:15, Mon</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[{"modified":1477267217767,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails" [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Article is out, but hidden behind paywall so can't read it.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>

<p>2016-10-24 00:02:22, Mon</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1477267344520,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"]}</p>	<p>Wsj? Boy, that was fast.\n\nNo word from [REDACTED] Should I find it and tell the team?</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-24 00:03:38, Mon</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1477267420072,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"]}</p>	<p>No, I think not. Maybe he didn't get a chance, or [REDACTED] decided not to say anything until tomorrow.</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-24 00:04:04, Mon</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1477267446757,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"]}</p>	<p>Not behind a pay wall. I need to send</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-10-24 00:04:32, Mon</p>		<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1477267474615,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"]}</p>	<p>Huh?</p>	<p>sms</p>		<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-10-24 00:04:59, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267501133,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	The article is not behind a paywall\n\nWe get several hours of warning about every other email, but not this, arguably more important than most..	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:05:30, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267591729,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[" [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	Jesus [REDACTED] then fine. Send it to everyone you know. Or I can not tell you about it at all and you can just come across it given all the time you spend reading the Journal. \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:06:50, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267613378,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[" [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	What difference does it make to send it to the team Sunday night vs monday morning?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:07:37, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267659418,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[" [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	Thanks dude. Appreciate it. \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-24 00:08:15, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267697679,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Or I can get it like I do every other article that hits my Google news alert. Seriously.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:09:00, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267742065,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Send me the Google alert.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:10:31, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267833335,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Give me a break. Go look at EVERY article I've sent the team. \n\nCount them.\n\nThen count every Godd"mn heads up I get from [REDACTED] but NOT this one.\n\nThen tell me i should sit on THIS one and let them hear from someone else. You're not being fair about this.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 00:12:17, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 02 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267939206,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I really cannot believe you're taking this position and it angers me. I'm going to hope your anger about [REDACTED] getting dragged into this is clouding things.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-10-24 00:12:28, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477267949525,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	I AM being fair about this. I asked you not to. I don't care that [REDACTED] sucks. 1) This is about trust, and 2) WHAT THE F DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE TO ANYONE ON THE TEAM? Is there some investigative step to take? Some mitigation measure?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-25 00:46:57, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477356419838,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	I hate this case. \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-25 00:52:31, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX		(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477356753464,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Why? What happened?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-25 00:54:08, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477356849764,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Nothing more. Just all of it.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-25 00:55:02, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1477356904370,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	I asked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to meet tomorrow morning. Please let me just meet with them alone. Please.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-25 00:59:55, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1477357197782,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	Sure	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-25 10:11:45, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1477390308623,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	Christ. Make sure you scroll down and read that guy's comment about the polls.\n\nDonald Trump Dismisses Latest Accuser: \u2018Oh, f\u2019\n\nSure She\u2019s Never Been Grabbed Before\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2eyZhVL	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-10-26 11:39:00, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [{"modified":1477481942626,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":[" [REDACTED]@ [REDACTED].com"]}]	Let's talk about this later.\n\nWe Need to Clean This Up\n\nClinton Aide\u2019s Newly Public Email Shows Concern http://nyti.ms/2dG6zal	SMS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-10-26 12:58:14, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477486695907,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. H Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	And yup, me and [REDACTED] at drop-off today...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-26 13:06:37, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477487199140,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. H Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Nice!!!	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-26 13:06:46, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477487208331,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. H Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Hit piece on Andy from VA GOP in Hampton newspaper	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-26 13:13:47, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477487629511,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. H Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	That sucks. I can talk btw.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-27 00:06:05, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 02	[{"modified":1477526767600,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Interesting - one of the Podesta emails talks about him hosting Peter Kadzik at his house for dinner in Oct, 2015. And in May, 2015, (b) (6) asked Podesta for a job on the campaign.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-27 00:29:59, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 02	[{"modified":1477528201330,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Not announced, but they all know. Calls actually happened yesterday. And I feel (hopefully don't look as old) like Keith Richards. [REDACTED] oming via call? There's nothing classified...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-27 21:08:58, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 02	[{"modified":1477602540672,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[" [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	On with [REDACTED] still.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-27 21:09:48, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 02	[{"modified":1477602590638,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[" [REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED]"]}]	Hope it's going well...	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-27 21:21:08, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477603270110,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":{	I'm done.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-28 17:19:06, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477675148408,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":{	Still on with [REDACTED] phone is ON FIRE.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-28 17:19:38, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477675179843,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":{	It's on news	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-10-28 17:29:58, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477675799869,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]","emails":{	You may wanna tell [REDACTED] he should turn on CNN , there's news going on ; (sms		[REDACTED]

2016-10-28 17:30:17, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1477675818932,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</pre>	He knows. He just got handed a note.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-28 17:33:54, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1477676036676,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</pre>	Ha. He asking about it now?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 13:50:53, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1477835455458,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["(202) [REDACTED]","(202) 324-[REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</pre>	This is all Matt\nJustice officials warned FBI that Comey\u2019s decision to update Congress was not consistent with department policy - The Washington Post\n https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/justice-officials-warned-fbi-that-comes-decision-to-update-congress-was-not-consistent-with-department-policy/2016/10/29/cb179254-9de7-11e6-b3c9-f662adaa0048_story.html?hpid=hp_hp-top-table-main_campaignprint-810pm%3Ahomepage%2Fstory	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 13:56:06, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1477835769535,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["(202) [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</pre>	Yeah, I saw it. Makes me feel WAY less bad about throwing him under the bus in the forthcoming CF article.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-10-30 13:57:24, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477835847944,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Yep the whole tone is anti Bu. Just a tiny bit from us. And serves him right. He's gonna be pissed....	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 17:27:31, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477848454009,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	An article to share: FBI agents knew of Clinton-related emails weeks before director was briefed\nFBI agents knew of Clinton-related emails weeks before director was briefed\nhttp://wapo.st/2f2EhEO	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 18:32:07, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477852329933,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Okay now I'm getting angry.	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 19:06:48, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1477854413603,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	What - [REDACTED] opening comments?	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-10-30 19:30:47, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1477855849188,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Sorry, utterly terrible day. I'm not sure I can identify one single redeeming thing about it.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 00:50:57, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1478134260864,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Sorry. Rybicki called. Time line article in the post is super specific and not good. Doesn't make sense because I didn't have specific information to give.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 00:55:50, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX		(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1478134555136,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	What post article!?	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 00:56:28, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202	[{"modified":1478134591208,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Just went up. WaPo.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-11-03 00:57:08, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478134630188,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Goddamn bills opaque comments.....	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 00:57:45, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478134667507,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Can I send to team?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 00:57:54, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478134676874,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Yes	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-03 11:29:46, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478172593910,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["I"],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":[" [REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	The nyt probability numbers are dropping every day. I'm scared for our organization.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-11-04 03:19:04, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14782295 47468,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" : [{"202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] ", "emails": [{" [REDACTED] @ [REDACTED] }]}] </pre>	<p>Dude. On Inauguration Day, in addition to our kegger we should also have a screening of the Weiner documentary!</p> <p>\U0001f60a</p>	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-06 20:33:54, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14784644 37353,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" : [{"202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] ", "emails": [{" [REDACTED] @ [REDACTED] }]}] </pre>	<p>Trump about to get off his plane</p>	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-06 20:52:54, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14784655 76928,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" : [{"202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] ", "emails": [{" [REDACTED] @ [REDACTED] }]}] </pre>	<p>I'm on fox. Trump is talking about her.</p>	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-06 20:53:42, Sun	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14784656 27785,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" : [{"202 [REDACTED] (202) [REDACTED] ", "emails": [{" [REDACTED] @ [REDACTED] }]}] </pre>	<p>He's talking about cartwright and Petraeus and how they're not protected. She's protected by a rigged system.</p>	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-11-07 01:43:03, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14784829 86168,"uniqueid":804," firstName:"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]} </pre>	Good lord...n\ninside Donald Trump\u2019s Last Stand: An Anxious Nominee Seeks Assurance http://nytl.ms/2esuTs3	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 01:56:33, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14785701 96912,"uniqueid":804," firstName:"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) 324- [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]} </pre>	OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING:\nA victory by Mr. Trump remains possible: Mrs. Clinton\u2019s chance of losing is about the same as the probability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 02:05:51, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14785707 55112,"uniqueid":804," firstName:"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]} </pre>	Yeah, that's not good.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 02:42:33, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> [{"modified":14785729 55529,"uniqueid":804," firstName:"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]} </pre>	Oh god [REDACTED]	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

2016-11-08 02:42:40, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1478572963173,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	What is she saying?	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 02:43:40, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1478573023453,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	She does realize you've been in EVERY conversation that has been had about this case, right?	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 02:44:54, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1478573096222,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	That we should have gone on the record saying Kallstrom and others are not credible (which may be valid), but then saying we could pull his tolls if we wanted to. Because she knows all about our policy regarding investigations of members of the media. \U0001f621	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2016-11-08 02:45:31, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre> {"modified":1478573135026,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]} </pre>	Yes. But she's an expert who knows everything. \n\nI'm telling you, it's wildly infuriating. She has good points but then assumes wildly impossible understanding of things to make groundless assertions.	sms	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

<p>2016-11-08 02:45:59, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1478573163985,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>Told her twice she was either calling me stupid or a liar. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-11-08 02:46:06, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1478573169391,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>Uh, what crime are we investigating? And I'm sorry, that's a terrible idea. Go to war with the farmers?</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-11-08 02:47:14, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>OUTBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1478573238072,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>Jesus, [REDACTED]. I'm sorry. That would make me blind with rage.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
<p>2016-11-08 02:47:53, Tue</p>	<p>202 [REDACTED]</p>	<p>INBOX</p>	<p>(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 202</p>	<p>[{"modified":1478573276586,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["[REDACTED]"],"numbers":["202-[REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]</p>	<p>Leaking information about ongoing investigations. Which is incorrect information. By agents who don't know about things talking to him. See? That's the thing. Her initial point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions.</p>	<p>sms</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>

2016-11-09 01:06:45, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478653609293,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	\U0001f60a\n\nHsppy Election geekdom here.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 04:06:58, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478664421088,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	Trump won NC	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 04:20:14, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478665217060,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	P&S is projecting Florida as well.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 09:34:14, Wed		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1478684056364,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com"]}]	And there it is.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1478685501504,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]</pre>	Analogous to the public editor article Bill handed out. \n\nNews Media Yet Again Misreads America\u2019s Complex Pulse http://nyti.ms/2eCqXVM	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 12:11:02, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1478693465045,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]</pre>	Just woke up. We fought on and off all night.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 12:13:37, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1478693620024,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]</pre>	Too hard to explain here. Election related. Which is also godawful bad. \n\nSure	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-09 12:43:13, Wed	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	<pre>[{"modified":1478695395236,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]</pre>	Are you even going to give out your calendars? Seems kind of depressing. Maybe it should just be the first meeting of the secret society.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-11-13 19:11:15, Sun		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":1479064277728,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II"}] (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "organizations": [], "numbers": [{"202 [REDACTED]}, {"202 [REDACTED]}], "emails": [{" [REDACTED]}] </pre>	bought all the president's men. Figure I needed to brush up on watergate. \U0001f615	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-14 13:51:27, Mon		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":1479131504565,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II"}] (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "organizations": [], "numbers": [{"202 [REDACTED]}, {"202 [REDACTED]}], "emails": [{" [REDACTED]}] </pre>	God, being here makes me angry. Lots of high fallutin' national security talk. Meanwhile, we have OUR task ahead of us...	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-14 20:08:18, Mon		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":1479154100955,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II"}] (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "organizations": [], "numbers": [{"202 [REDACTED]}, {"202 [REDACTED]}], "emails": [{" [REDACTED]}] </pre>	It's making me very angry.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-14 20:08:40, Mon		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":1479154123935,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II"}] (202) [REDACTED] Strzok", "notes": [], "organizations": [], "numbers": [{"202 [REDACTED]}, {"202 [REDACTED]}], "emails": [{" [REDACTED]}] </pre>	BBC News: Trump and Putin hold telephone talks\nTrump and Putin hold telephone talks - http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-37981770	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-11-15 01:43:02, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1479174184541,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	"CNN: Source says naming a Trump national security team a 'knife fight' "	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-15 01:43:43, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1479174226236,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	Christ. What does that mean?!	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-15 01:46:21, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1479174383921,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	I can only guess difference of opinion between Trump and Republican establishment?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-15 01:47:05, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED];202	[{"modified":1479174430262,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED] [REDACTED]"]}]	I get it. I'm just exclaiming how f-ed it all is.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-11-15 01:50:30, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479174633444,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	My god, Sessions for DoD or AG.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-15 01:51:43, Tue	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479174705703,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Which is the f-ed uppedness of it	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-18 12:40:45, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479472848812,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Sessions for AG	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-11-18 12:49:19, Fri	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479473361848,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	Good god.	sms		[REDACTED]

2016-11-21 01:14:10, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479690854537,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	This is really disgusting. \n\nNYTimes: White Nationalists Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump\u2019s Victory\nWhite Nationalists Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump\u2019s Victory http://nyti.ms/2fc6vve	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-21 01:19:38, Mon	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1479691183147,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":[],"numbers":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	I'm worried racial tension is going to get really bad...	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-11-26 12:45:01, Sat	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1480164304831,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	You see Trump chose a Fox News analyst as his Dep Ntnl Security advisor?	sms	[REDACTED]
2016-12-01 01:52:01, Thu	202 [REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] 202 [REDACTED]	[{"modified":1480557123895,"uniqueid":804,"firstName":"Peter","lastName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"organizations":["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]"],"emails":["[REDACTED]"]}]	And I keep thinking about what the D said, what was it, sick to one's stomach? Want to talk with you about it more. And in would like to talk to Jim and Andy too. Jim may be too much a true believer though.	sms	[REDACTED]

2016-12-01 01:52:23, Thu		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":14805571 46601,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. Il (202) [REDACTED] Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] </pre>	Mildly nauseous, he said.	sms		[REDACTED]
2016-12-01 01:52:48, Thu		202 [REDACTED]	OUTBOX		<pre> [{"modified":14805571 71109,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. Il (202) [REDACTED] Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ["202 [REDACTED]","(202) [REDACTED]","emails":["[REDACTED] </pre>	Technically not sure you can talk to andy about it. \U0001f621	sms		[REDACTED]